UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|
| 10/579,820 | 05/17/2006 | Ikuo Shimizu | 00005.001294. | 5933 |
| | 7590 08/13/200 CELLA HARPER & | EXAMINER | | |
| 30 ROCKEFEL | LER PLAZA | HAVLIN, ROBERT H | | |
| NEW YORK, N | N I 10112 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | 1626 | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 08/13/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|----------------|--|
| 10/579,820 | SHIMIZU ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| ROBERT HAVLIN | 1626 | |

| | ROBERT HAVLIN | 1626 | | | | | |
|--|--|--|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>23 July 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | ;, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(| dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth inter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE (f). | date of the final rejection of the FIRST REPLY WAS FII | n. LED WITHIN TWO | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee lave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as let forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, hay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the proposed and the pr | nsideration and/or search (see NOTw); w); ter form for appeal by materially red | E below); lucing or simplifying th | | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | | | | | |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the | | | | | | | |
| non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). | | | | | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> | | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | | |
| 12. | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |
| /Robert Havlin/ | /Kamal A Saeed/ | | | | | | |
| Examiner, Art Unit 1626 | Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 1626 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The 132 declaration has not been considered and the claims remain properly rejected as obvious. The examiner recommends filing an RCE to allow for full consideration of the data provided and to allow for further consideration and/or search by the examiner.